

LATIN LAWYER REFERENCE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS 2019

Dominican Republic

Esperanza Cabral Rubiera
and Johanna Soto Peña

OMG

MARCH 2019

1 Has the level of M&A activity slowed, increased or remained flat in 2018 as compared to 2017, and what are conditions like today? In general terms, what level of activity is foreseen for 2019? What are the factors influencing the level of M&A activity – Economic? Political? Commodity prices? Weakness in currency? Stock market performance? Liquidity? Rule of law? Other?

M&A activity has remained active in the past three years. The constant dynamism of merger and acquisition activity has been driven mainly by the sustainable growth of the economy and political stability, as well as by the ongoing expansion of the world economy. Consolidation has also been motivated by opportunities of market growth, by the potential development of the stock market, maximisation of resources, foreign direct investment, synergies, as well as by the recent tendency of regionalisation. For 2019, an increase of M&A activity is foreseen, especially in the financial, manufacturing, energy, telecommunications, infrastructure, tourism and retail sectors.

In terms of foreign policy, given the recent reestablishment of diplomatic and commercial affairs with the Republic of China, the Dominican Republic expects to receive new investment opportunities that most likely will positively impact M&A activity. From the competitiveness standpoint, 2019 has been declared by the Executive Branch the year of innovation and competitiveness. In this sense, several presidential decrees have been issued to contribute to the sustainable economic growth of the Dominican Republic, through improvements in the business climate and increased activity among companies, which we foresee will promote more M&A activity from foreign investors.

2 Which industries do you expect will see the most M&A activity in 2019?

Energy, telecommunications, financial and infrastructure will continue to be particularly active for the next 12 months.

In the case of energy, this will follow a plan put in place by the government for agreeing with the private sector on the nature and extent of investments required to overcome the country's current electricity shortfall, under the National Development Strategy Law. This "electric pact" means massive investment will be required and that returns could be high as a means of attracting investors, and players of all sorts (banks, investments funds, regional and international groups) are positioning themselves to take advantage of the opportunities. We expect most of the M&A activity to take the form of joint ventures, acquisition or restructuring undertakings around those companies with energy concessions, licences and tax benefits. We foresee that this sort of activity will continue to take place in the following years as a result of a continued public and private effort to improve the country's investment climate and business environment.

As to telecommunications, some restrictions on investments, mostly on the regulatory side, such as those related to the expansion of available spectrum, are expected to be removed soon, opening the door for some much needed revamping of technology. In addition, having one of most advanced telecommunication infrastructures in Latin America, as well as a strategic geographic location, Dominican Republic continues to gain access to more efficient communication technologies, distribution networks and backup centres. As a consequence, we anticipate M&A activity associated with the financing of these new investments, as well as a move forward by key international players to join existing local players.

Likewise, there will likely be increased activity in infrastructure projects as the government undertakes a comprehensive programme of expanding and upgrading roads and transportation systems, partly with the purpose of accelerating the economy. In order to circumvent budget and indebtedness restrictions the different government agencies in charge of these projects are becoming more creative, leveraging on new legal instruments such as fideicomisos to raise the necessary financing. The use of these innovative mechanisms opens the door for investment from all sorts of players. Some important international funds, construction companies and equipment manufacturers are already increasing their presence in the country in search of opportunities in these projects.

Finally, some important activity is expected to occur in growing industries such as technology, as private equity funds search for niche investments. Likewise, more M&A activity may take place in the financial sector because of regulation on risk assessment that makes it more difficult for smaller financial institutions to compete. We also expect the continuation of trends identified in construction, retail and other manufacturing industries, where M&A activity would reflect the consolidation trend aimed at capturing synergies, and in general coping with the cost of excess capacity built during the last decade upon a much greater expectation of economic growth.

3 What types of deals do you expect to see?

Most of the M&A activity referred to in the previous section will probably take the form of either outright acquisitions or mergers. However, four very important evolving trends are also likely to produce significant minority investments. One such trend has to do with equity positions taken by multilateral organisations and development banks, which have been gradually migrating from a pure lending pattern to investing in the capital of local companies. The second important trend relates to the gradual

liberalisation by the government of restrictions imposed on pension funds to invest in new instruments. Pension funds continue to grow exponentially under a mandatory contribution structure but are limited in their ability to invest due to the relative lack of sophistication of our securities market and a very conservative stance of regulators, who decide the type and extent of investments by the funds. This may change because of both an increased offer of instruments in the securities market and the need for regulators to balance and diversify the overall portfolio of funds, now highly concentrated in local banks and government-issued debt. A recent very comprehensive modification of our Securities Regulation (which has been further deepened by an amendment to the Securities Law by Law No. 249-17), paired with the advanced implementation process of Law 189 on Trusts and other instruments, is likely to contribute to the consolidation of this trend. The third evolving trend likely to contribute to a rise in minority investments is precisely the enactment of the accompanying regulations to Law 189 as well as other pieces of legislation oriented towards promoting the growth of new sectors like cinema, affordable housing, renewable energy and venture capital. All of these statutes and bills have in common that they create incentives (especially tax breaks) for companies to invest in the different economic sectors the legislature attempts to promote. Finally, a rise in minority investments may result from increased activity in private equity.

4 Discuss the level of M&A activity you have seen over 2018 and expect to see in 2019 of: (i) pure domestic deals; (ii) deals in your jurisdiction involving a domestic target and foreign acquirer from Latin America, or a foreign acquirer from outside Latin America; and (iii) deals involving a domestic acquirer and foreign target in Latin America or a foreign target outside Latin America.

Mergers and acquisitions driven by the strengthening of markets as a result of the economic growth, as well as deals driven by the capture of identifiable synergies, as described above, are mostly domestic in nature. We include here the activities of some multinationals that have been long established in the Dominican Republic. The case of minority investments, particularly those associated to the new trends mentioned, is different. There have been important new foreign entrants, and we expect more, taking advantage of opportunities in tourism, renewable energy and affordable housing construction. Most of the private equity activity is also foreign in nature, as are, of course, the investments of multilaterals. The pension fund investments are all domestic.

Most notably among Latin American acquirers recently have been companies from Colombia, Venezuela, Guatemala and Mexico. As companies, both large and small, in those countries look to expand their markets, they have been taking important positions in industries as diverse as construction, fuel, food, retail, tourism, transportation, banking, securities, insurance and energy.

By the same token, we anticipate the increase of deals involving domestic acquirers and Latin American targets within the next 12 months, considering Dominican companies have been actively immersed in exploring opportunities for acquisitions in the region. They include large companies that may have exhausted their growth potential in the country or are in need of diversifying to limit their country-risk exposure, and also some smaller companies that have identified growth opportunities in regional markets where they have an interesting value proposition but whose local opportunities are limited by either saturation of the markets, by contraction, or both. Countries where potential domestic acquirers have been entertaining certain prospects, in some cases with advanced negotiations undertaken, include Colombia, Mexico, Venezuela, Peru, Guatemala, Costa Rica, Panama, Trinidad and Tobago, Jamaica and other Caribbean territories. Due to the recent political efforts towards the normalisation of its relations with the United States, Cuba is expected to become a key target for domestic investors in the future.

5 What is the level of private equity activity? Are domestic or international funds involved? What kinds of deals are they doing?

Private equity activity involving mainly international funds has been increasing steadily recently. Funds are in continuous search for opportunities in the country as they and their investors are seduced by the impressive returns. In most high-profile transactions that take place in the country, the presence of international funds as bidders is very common, and many transactions are triggered by funds that are actively pursuing and creating opportunities.

6 Is acquisition financing available for deals? Where is financing coming from? How much concern do you have that an increase in interest rates or risk of a recession will limit the availability of financing?

Acquisition financing is readily available for all kinds of deals and buyers (strategic, private equity) as the Dominican legal system provides for an efficient, loosely regulated framework for lending and security. Banks have remained fairly liquid for the most part of the past decade, and the Central Bank has kept a flexible and open environment with historically low interest

rates, except for certain short periods where it has reacted to a heating economy and signs of inflation fuelled by government spending. Notwithstanding, we seem to have a hybrid of domestic and international lenders, especially considering existing regulatory provisions related to credit risk that could make it less attractive for local banks to provide facilities with certain types of risk or to finance large sums of money. Additionally, in some circumstances, local participants decide to assume the currency risk and resort to international financing to access more appealing interest rates, fees and terms as well as for confidentiality purposes. Moreover, other sources, such as private equity, have also remained very liquid and eager to finance acquisitions in all sorts of industries. As regulators start paving the way for pension funds to invest in private equity, the availability of financing for acquisitions will start to grow exponentially.

Although generally private equity deals are structured following a 50-50 debt-equity ratio, some higher-leveraged transactions (up to 65 to 70 per cent debt) are common in mature industries such as retail.

7 How open is your country to investments and acquisitions by foreign buyers? Is there a level playing field when foreign and domestic bidders compete to buy the same domestic target company?

The Dominican Republic is absolutely open to investments and acquisitions by foreign buyers. The legal system makes no discrimination in terms of either percentage of ownership, approvals, exchange or otherwise. Restrictions or limitations that would apply to foreign entrants would apply to Dominican companies and investors as well. Notwithstanding, foreign bidders have the edge over domestic bidders in terms of easy-of-access to capital and financing resources due to the limitations of a large sum of domestic participants to obtain the necessary capital to compete at similar cost-effective conditions. As Dominican regulators keep on working to allow pension funds to invest in private equity, this gap between the foreign and domestic bidders shall be reduced significantly. The most recent significant bids have indeed been awarded to foreign companies.

8 Are corruption and compliance concerns affecting M&A activity? Are there industries where this is a particular issue?

Our legislative framework regarding corruption matters is very specific, and includes international agreements, laws and other regulations. As of the enactment of the Anti-Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing Act No.155-17 on 2017, more regulations apply requiring more transparency regarding the origin of funds used as payment in all commercial transactions, which can have an impact on acquisition transactions. There is also Act No. 448-06, on Bribery in Trade in the Dominican Republic, however, the implementation of such does not have a direct impact on M&A activity since it is mostly limited to sanction anti-bribery activities.

As per compliance, regulated industries such as energy, banking, telecommunications and infrastructure have specific regulatory regimes that sometimes may present entry barriers to new players in these industries owing to the time involved in obtaining certain required authorisations.

9 How big a part of M&A activity is the restructuring of financially troubled companies? Have you seen more of this in 2018 as compared with 2017? What are the prospects for 2019?

Some companies remain in financial distress due to the global economic crisis and the prospects for recovery in the short term are not great considering the conditions of a very competitive market. These are companies that leveraged on their success and the incredible growth pattern of the Dominican economy and issued or contracted debt to finance their expansion projects (new lines, new plants, new products or an acquisition). Even though the growth of the economy has been spectacular by any international standard nowadays, it has still remained short of expectations for certain Dominican entrepreneurs, and the fact that most of the growth has been associated to government spending in infrastructure means that many industries, have had only marginal growth or no growth at all. Hence sound, well-managed companies have been left with above-optimal levels of indebtedness. With few exceptions, these companies in financial distress are very attractive targets for acquisitions because they have good, well-positioned brands, fantastic market share, robust management, good business plans and good prospects for going regional. Therefore, financially troubled companies have been and will remain important targets for M&A transactions for the years to come.

10 Does your country's bankruptcy law permit the reorganisation of the debtor as a going concern, and the acquisition of the entity out of bankruptcy? Are you seeing much activity in this area?

A much awaited and debated bill on business restructuring was passed in August 2015, which represented a significant gain for the business landscape. It provides for the reorganisation of a distressed debtor as a going concern, and allows for the acquisition of the troubled company out of bankruptcy. Despite the lack of a restructuring law for years in the Dominican Republic, since some local companies issue securities under foreign jurisdictions (most notably the US) there were indeed instances of restructuring and acquisitions that took place in the process of reorganisation. Considering the entry in force of the bill is still at an early stage, its enforcement and application is yet to be seen, although the outcome seems to be promising.

11 Has there been any increase in public company M&A?

Despite the existence of the proper legal framework, including the enactment of a new law (Law 249-17), as of today, public companies are in a very premature stage, there has not yet been an increase in public company M&A. Only a few companies have had the initiative of becoming public, and none have yet carried out the issuance of shares for sale to the public.

12 How well protected are minority shareholders in public companies? What recent developments have there been as relates to independent directors, special committees, independent advisers, fairness opinions?

The offer of shares for sale to the public, freely traded on a stock exchange, has not yet been seen in the Dominican Republic. Although there is a legal framework in place for the regulation of public companies, including a law on securities and stock exchange, only a few companies have had the initiative of becoming public, and none have carried out the issuance of shares for sale to the public. In terms of the protection of minority shareholders in public companies, the Companies Law of the Dominican Republic, together with the law on securities and stock exchange and other complimentary laws, do not distinguish minority shareholders of public companies from those of private companies. Consequently, the protection granted to all minority shareholders of both private and public companies include the right of participation in all extraordinary shareholders meetings, right to financial information, appointment of representatives, protection of the right to equality of shareholders, and preservation of rights before the creditors of the company.

13 Has there been any increase in shareholder activism and hostile takeovers? Are international hedge funds active in your market? What defences are target companies permitted to adopt?

There has been no case of hostile takeover in recent years and, apart from some opportunistic behaviour of shareholders in certain high-profile cases within the last three years, shareholder activism remains low. The aforementioned, since there are no publicly traded companies in the Dominican Republic. In this sense, international funds, mainly private equity funds, have gained some activity during the past couple of years, but are not common within domestic transactions.

14 Have directors, management and controlling shareholders changed how they conduct themselves in M&A deals? What kind of fiduciary duties do directors, management and controlling shareholders have under the laws of your jurisdiction? From your experience, are directors, management and controlling shareholders more diligent today in their review of M&A transactions and other matters?

The relatively recent Companies Law has profoundly changed management behaviour in the Dominican Republic in all kinds of matters, not only M&A. Although the Dominican legal system already contemplated the fundamental principles of fiduciary duties, the fact that the Law has made such an explicit and detailed reference of those fiduciary responsibilities (the standard duty of care and duty of loyalty) has produced a notable cultural shift. The change in the dynamics of boards has also been influenced by the fact that the Law provides for directors' compensation and therefore boards have become more professional. There is also a related increase in the presence of independent directors (in most regulated industries a certain component of independent directors is mandatory). The Law is also very explicit about the legal remedies available to shareholders in the case of a breach by directors of their fiduciary responsibilities, and this has made shareholders' claims and activism more likely, further contributing to the shift in management behaviour. As a consequence of all of this, M&A is now the subject of a more careful, transparent and professional review by boards, with much more involvement from shareholders (at the call and request

of directors). Under current law most fiduciary duties applicable to directors are deemed to apply as well to top management, controlling shareholders and professional administrators (such as fund managers).

15 Should directors, management and controlling shareholders be more concerned today about negative publicity, shareholder criticism, regulatory pressure, shareholder lawsuits and liability from potential litigation?

Because of the expanded legal criteria for the evaluation of management behaviour and the increased consciousness of the market on issues of fiduciary responsibility, directors, top management and controlling shareholders do have to be more concerned about potential negative publicity, regulatory pressure and both civil and criminal liability. This has been reflected, for instance, in a considerable increase in companies' expenditures in directors' insurance.

16 Are there major differences in how domestic and cross-border deals are being conducted? For instance, does the type of purchase agreement used in your jurisdiction differ significantly from the international style of agreement? If so, which type is being used more often?

The typical form of purchase agreement used internationally has become common use in the Dominican Republic even for purely domestic deals. Some adaptations are sometimes made to adjust to local law references. For instance, "representations" are usually called "declarations"; "affirmative covenants" are referred to as obligaciones de hacer; and "negative covenants" are referred to as obligaciones de no hacer; likewise, "conditions precedent" are renamed condiciones de efectividad. The reason in all cases is taking advantage of specific references of the Civil Code as well as of certain special statutes, thus facilitating interpretation and enforcement by judges and arbitrators.

17 Have there been changes in the process for how M&A transactions are conducted in your jurisdiction?

Tax regulations have been gradually moving to reach the income tax neutrality referred to above. M&A planning and negotiations used to be largely driven by important differences in total tax impact for a transaction depending on the structure selected, hence producing some sort of trade-off between the relative impact of other variables, such as control of intangibles and the risk of contingent liabilities, and that of tax cost. The gradual shift to neutrality has been mainly achieved by establishing controls over the use of offshore layers of ownership and reorganisation processes, and the result is that parties must now give a greater share of attention to other variables. The parties still dedicate a disproportionate share of time to planning and negotiating tax aspects of the deal, but the expectation is that this will cease to be so as the market adjusts to the new regulations. The most advantageous tax planning under the new system is the one that takes place during normal operations, in anticipation perhaps of a future transaction, but not upon closing of the transaction per se.

The other significant change in the legal framework affecting M&A relates to competition regulation and the new Anti-Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism Law (155-17) as referred to above.

Dominican competition law does not require ex ante review of acquisitions or mergers that may result in a dominant position in the relevant market. The law does not prohibit the emergence of dominant positions but concentrates on the abuse of such dominant position and other restricted practices. Nevertheless, the regulatory oversight that results when a company is regarded to be in a dominant position is such that M&A nowadays need to incorporate its impact in the representations and warranties provided for in the purchase agreement, as well as in the indemnities. In an acquisition, the purchaser needs to factor in the added cost of regulation, and in a merger both parties need to agree, usually by means of a shareholders' agreement, certain governance provisions aimed at ensuring that operations will be managed in compliance of competition law. All of this is enlarged by the fact that regulators are entitled to declare that the company resulting from the transaction is in a dominant position (before any case of abuse), thus formally placing the company in the oversight spotlight.

18 How level is the playing field for domestic and international bidders?

Recent changes in the international and local business regulations and the reinforcement of governmental controls have caused a notable shift in business behaviour of domestic buyers. Thus, an aversion towards assuming contingencies is more present nowadays. Domestic buyers are growing more aware of the importance of conducting a proper due diligence of its target and to require proper guarantees pertaining contingencies. Also, it has become customary to require the seller all regulatory approvals as conditions precedent to the transaction.

Compliance with FCPA and other transnational anti-corruption statutes, as well as local anti-corruption regulation and the new Anti-Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism Law (155-17) has become very transcendent in M&A transactions, and is deemed to be an added value to the business. Therefore, the requirement of adoption of anti-corruption polices and general compliance is more common at present.

19 For international buyers and investors looking at deals in your jurisdiction, what are the three most important pieces of advice you have and what are the three most important pitfalls that should be avoided?

First, in most M&A cases we advise in favour of structuring the deal as an asset transaction (as opposed to a merge or a share transfer). This is for three reasons: (i) our tax system produces a fairly neutral framework where the overall income taxation of the deal would not differ significantly depending on the structure chosen, thus removing income taxation as a determinant factor in M&A planning (in contrast with the situation in many other jurisdictions where the potential income tax, especially on capital gain, constitutes the main driver of structure); (ii) the implications for the transfer of intangible assets (intellectual property, licenses, permits) are also basically the same regardless of the chosen structure; and (iii) the system provides for a very strong shelter against hidden liabilities when the deal is structured as an asset transfer, except for tax and labour liabilities, but these tend to be easily quantifiable and thus are compensated through the standard indemnities contained in the purchase agreement.

Second, we strongly advise in favour of arbitration as the dispute resolution mechanism for conflicts related to interpretation of the purchase agreement or related to a breach of the parties' obligations. When a local asset has been granted as collateral (for instance, as security for liability indemnities or deferred payment), arbitration is not available and the parties must submit the case to ordinary courts. Dominican arbitration law is very modern and follows best international practices, and chambers of commerce have extensive experience (in particular the Santo Domingo chamber) administering arbitration forums. In addition, the Dominican Republic is a signatory of the New York Convention on Arbitral Awards, and it is customary for the parties to submit to international arbitration (usually under ICC or AAA rules) when one of them is a foreign entity.

The first pitfall to be avoided is related to the lengthy processes required for the completion of asset transfers. Many deals are either aborted or seriously affected by the frustration of the parties for the extent and unpredicted delays of the timetable. Most asset types are subject to some kind of previous authorisation or clearance by the tax authorities, or the final tax cost of a transfer may be uncertain until a clearance process is completed. When the assets include real estate property, there is the added time of the registration protocol, which is highly cumbersome, and may include judicial intervention in cases of fractionated ownership (typical in non-urban settings). The approval process for the transfer of licenses and permits is also very lengthy. This timing problem affects all options of acquisition structure, but the problem is exacerbated by the fact that asset transfers are the advisable choice, for the reasons stated above.

Our advice to avoid this pitfall is for the parties to contemplate from the onset of their negotiations some form of interim possession and control of the assets, through the appropriate set of agreements. The parties may feel that this brings an unwanted complication to the deal, but the added benefit of deriving value of the target assets and being able to exploit the identified synergies usually more than compensate for the extra planning required for interim control. Also, in most cases the transfer process is actually optimised, in terms of time, by following the lengthy approval process (especially when some form of reorganisation treatment is possible) instead of shortcutting it, so it pays to phase the deal in stages by incorporating interim control.

A second pitfall to consider when doing M&A transactions in the Dominican Republic is not to exclusively base the feasibility of a transaction on a tax structure for which the main target is to obtain a tax exemption granted by the tax administration according to a special tax treatment such as tax exemption owing to a reorganisation process under article 323 of the Dominican tax code.

When structuring a transaction, tax planning is one of the most important issues considered by the parties at a very early stage of negotiations. Restructuring is an option seriously considered by the parties to avoid or reduce assets transfer tax, since under Dominican tax law a tax exemption is granted when a corporate reorganisation is approved under article 323 of the Dominican tax code and Decree 408-10 dated 12 August 2010. Such tax exemption is granted by tax administration based on its discretionary power and after the corresponding evaluation and fulfilment of all legal and statutory requirements. The average time frame for this process is nine months.

Our advice to avoid this pitfall is for the parties to contemplate different scenarios for tax planning considering all taxes involved in the potential transaction. It is important for the parties to consider the feasibility of the transaction without obtaining a tax exemption under a reorganisation process, and also to consider the impact of time in closing, if such tax exemption is a condition precedent for closing.

Another pitfall to avoid is to close a transaction considering a deferred payment of the purchase price when funds for such payment will be raised from international banking and/or investors without having the pre-approval of the credit when having local assets as collaterals for financing.

It is important for the purchaser to have potential creditors to complete a due diligence process over the assets that will serve as collateral (even though such assets are the ones to be purchased by future debtor). Requirement and evaluation of the collateral differ in each jurisdiction. It is important to avoid a possible breach of a contract due to a lack of funds because the potential collaterals are not eligible for financing.

20 Have there been any significant regulatory developments affecting M&A – your country's securities exchange commission, antitrust regulators, tax authorities, Central Bank, other regulators that review deals etc?

The Dominican legislative landscape has been under profound transformation recently and several of the new statutes and regulations (whether already enacted or in process of being enacted) are very likely to impact M&A activity. Foremost among new initiatives is the new regulatory framework on competition. Although the competition law is already seven years old, it was not until last year that the executive director of the competition commission was appointed. The executive director will bear the main responsibilities of leading antitrust investigations; therefore, increase of activities in the competition sector as well as the issuance of complementary regulation is soon to be expected. Likewise, securities regulation has been significantly transformed through the enactment of a securities regulation, which is having a profound impact in the way investment products are designed as well as in the behaviour of market participants and the way transactions are conducted. The extent of the impact of these regulatory changes is yet to be assessed, as the market is still under the regulatory adjustment period provided and complementary regulation may need to be issued. Another relevant legal development that impacts the M&A practice is the new bankruptcy law, which came into effect at the beginning of last year, enabling the restructuring and liquidation of enterprises. This law, inevitably, opened the door to the acquisition of troubled companies out of bankruptcy, representing an ensured increase in M&A cases.



Esperanza Cabral Rubiera
OMG

Partner at OMG, Esperanza Cabral specialises in European Union law, corporate law, administrative law, regulatory law and tax. She teaches civil law, corporate law and estate planning and is a member of the International Bar Association (IBA).

Cabral graduated magna cum laude from Pontificia Universidad Católica Madre y Maestra (PUCMM), Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, in 1996 and obtained a master's in corporate law and economic legislation from the same university in 1998. She also completed a master's in European Union law at Universidad Carlos III, Madrid, Spain (2000) and postgraduate studies at Universidad Complutense de Madrid (2000).

She is fluent in Spanish and English, and has been appointed judicial interpreter by the executive branch.



Johanna Soto Peña
OMG

Senior director of corporate practice at OMG, Johanna Soto graduated magna cum laude from Pontificia Universidad Católica Madre y Maestra (PUCMM), Santo Domingo (2004), she also has a master's in French and international private law from Université Pantheón-Assas Paris II (2006) and another one in Legal Strategy from the Instituto OMG (2017). Accredited by the Tax Association of the Dominican Republic as a Certified Tax Consultant (2012). She specialises in corporate law and business law, especially mergers and acquisitions, corporate restructuring, contract engineering, project financing, inheritance law, estate planning; also in the area of taxes and foreign investment. She is fluent in Spanish, English and French.



A leading full-practice firm and one of the largest in the Dominican Republic, OMG is oriented toward strategic business planning and is known for its skill in handling the most sophisticated and demanding legal and business challenges. With a depth of experience across a broad range of industry sectors, the firm has been at the forefront of important deals and transactions, both local and international.

The firm prides itself for its emphasis in anticipating, planning and understanding the business of its clients and the markets where they compete. The firm's vast experience, combined with a ground-breaking approach to analysis and research, results in innovative solutions that meet the most specialised needs of its clients.

OMG has a professional staff of 85 consultants in three offices within the territory of the Dominican Republic, located in Santo Domingo, Santiago and Punta Cana.

Rafael Augusto Sanchez No. 86,
Roble Corporate Center,
9th Floor, Piantini,
Santo Domingo Dominican Republic 10148
Tel: +1 809 381 0505

Esperanza Cabral Rubiera
e.cabral@omg.com.do

Johanna Soto Peña
j.soto@omg.com.do

www.omg.com.do